Case4:11-cr-00742-KAW Document54 Filed04/27/12 Page1 of 4 GALIA A. PHILLIPS – CA BAR #250551 1300 Clay Street, Suite 600 Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (415) 269-4553 3 Facsimile: (510) 464-8001 Attorney for Defendant ANJI DİRISANALA 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 OAKLAND DIVISION 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 11 Case No. 11-CR-742- (SBA) 12 Plaintiff. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 13 CONTINUING HEARING DATE TO MAY V. 1, 2012 AND EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT 14 VISHAL DASA, RAMAKRISHNA REDDY KARRA 15 Hearing Date: May 1, 2012 TUSHAR TAMBE Time: 10:00 am ANJI REDDY DIRISANALA, 16 Defendants. 17 18 19 20 I. **STIPULATION** 21 22 The above-captioned matter is set on May 1, 2012 before this Court for a status hearing. 23 The parties jointly request that the Court continue the matter to July 3, 2012, at 10:00 a.m., 24 before this Honorable Court, and that the Court exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 25 U.S.C. § 3161, between May 1, 2012 and July 3, 2012, so that the defense can have additional 26 time to review and assess the voluminous discovery in this case, including supplemental 27 discovery that the government plans to produce. 28 On October 7, 2011, the United States Attorney filed a one-count Information charging STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE CASE NO. 11-cr 742 SBA

Case4:11-cr-00742-KAW Document54 Filed04/27/12 Page2 of 4

1	defendants with conspiracy to commit visa fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 371 and 1546(a).	
2	On October 11, 2011, the defendants appeared before the magistrate court, waived Indictment	
3	and were arraigned. Defendants face a maximum sentence of five years imprisonment on this	
4	charge.	
5	By way of background, this case is related to a larger investigation involving Tri-Valley	
6	University ("TVU"), which the government has alleged was a sham university that accepted	
7	foreign students and issued legal status for these students without requiring that they attend	
8	classes. See Indictment in United States v. Susan Su, CR 11-00288-SBA.	
9	The four defendants charged in this related case request additional time to review the	
10	discovery that the government has already produced, which includes voluminous files from TVU	
11	computers that the government seized and that the defendants need to review. Additionally, the	
12	defense has requested that the government produce additional discovery relating to the broader	
13	investigation in this case, and the government has agreed to produce this discovery to defense	
14	counsel and needs additional time to gather the information.	
15	The parties stipulate and agree that the ends of justice served by this continuance	
16	outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. The parties further	
17	agree that the failure to grant this continuance would unreasonably deny counsel for defendants	
18	the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due	
19	diligence, and deny continuity of counsel. Accordingly, the parties agree that the period of time	
20	from May 1, 2012 to July 3, 2012, should be excluded in accordance with the provisions of the	
21	Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), for effective preparation of defense	
22	counsel and continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.	
23	It is so stipulated.	
24	Dated: April 26, 2012	By: /s/ ANGELA HANSEN
25		Attorney for Defendant
26		VISHAL DASA
27	Dated: April 26, 2012	By: /s/ GINNY WALIA
28		Attorney for Defendant

Case4:11-cr-00742-KAW Document54 Filed04/27/12 Page3 of 4 RAMAKRISHNA REDDY KARRA 1 2 Dated: April 26, 2012 By: /s/ 3 KENNETH MCGUIRE Attorney for Defendant 4 **TUSHAR TAMBE** 5 Dated: April 26, 2012 6 By: <u>/s/</u> 7 GALIA A. PHILLIPS Attorney for Defendant 8 ANJI DĬRISANALA Dated: April 26, 2012 9 10 By: <u>/s/</u> 11 WADE RHYNE 12 HARTLEY WEST **Assistant United States Attorneys** 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE CASE NO. 11-cr 742 SBA

23

24

SANDRA BROWN AMRSTRONG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

26

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

27

28